Shor's factoring algorithm: the quantum part

Yipeng Huang

Rutgers University

October 11, 2021

◆□▶ < 圖▶ < 圖▶ < 圖▶ < 圖▶ < 圖 < 의 < 0 </p>

The classical part: converting factoring to order finding / period finding

The quantum part: period finding using quantum Fourier transform Calculate modular exponentiation Measurement of target (bottom, ancillary) qubit register Quantum Fourier transform to obtain period

One way functions for cryptography

- 1. Multiplying two *b*-bit numbers: on order of b^2 time.
- 2. Best known classical algorithm to factor a *b*-bit number: on order of about $2\sqrt[3]{b}$ time.
- Makes multiplying large primes a candidate one-way function.
- It's an open question of mathematics to prove whether one way functions exist.

Public key cryptography

Numberphile YouTube channel explanation of RSA public key cryptography: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M7kEpw1tn50

One way functions for cryptography

- 1. Multiplying two *b*-bit numbers: on order of b^2 time.
- 2. Best known classical algorithm to factor a *b*-bit number: on order of about $2\sqrt[3]{b}$ time.

Quantum integer factoring algorithm

- Quantum algorithm to factor a *b*-bit number: b^3 .
- Peter Shor, 1994.
- Important example of quantum algorithm offering exponential speedup.

The classical part: converting factoring to order finding / period finding

The quantum part: period finding using quantum Fourier transform Calculate modular exponentiation Measurement of target (bottom, ancillary) qubit register Quantum Fourier transform to obtain period

The classical part: converting factoring to order finding / period finding

General strategy for the classical part

- 1. Factoring
- 2. Modular square root
- 3. Discrete logarithm
- 4. Order finding
- 5. Period finding

The fact that a quantum algorithm can support all these primitives leads to additional ways that future quantum computing can be useful / threatening to existing cryptography.

Factoring

$$N = pq$$
$$N = 15 = 3 \times 5$$

<ロ > < 回 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > 回 5/18

Modular square root

Finding the modular square root

$$s^2 \mod N = 1$$

 $s = \sqrt{1} \mod N$

Trivial roots would be $s = \pm 1$.

- Are there other (nontrivial) square roots?
- For N = 15, $s = \pm 4$, $s = \pm 11$, $s = \pm 14$ are all nontrivial square roots. (Show this).
- Later in these slides, we will see how nontrivial square roots are useful for factoring.



- 1. Pick a that is relatively prime with N.
- 2. Efficient to test if relatively prime by finding GCD using Euclid's algorithm. For example, a=6 and n=15.

Exercise: list the possible *a*'s for N = 15.



- 1. Pick *a* that is relatively prime with *N*.
- 2. Efficient to test if relatively prime by finding GCD using Euclid's algorithm. For example, a = 6 and n = 15.

So now our factoring problem is:

 $a^r \mod N = 1$

 $a^r \equiv 1 \mod N$

In fact, this algorithm for finding discrete log even more directly attacks other crypto primitives such as Diffie-Hellman key exchange.

Order finding

Our discrete log problem is equivalent to order intents				
	$a^1 \mod 15$	$a^2 \mod 15$	$a^3 \mod 15$	$a^4 \mod 15$
a=2	2	4	8	1
a=4	4	1	4	1
a=7	7	4	13	1
a=8	8	4	2	1
a=11	11	1	11	1
a=13	13	4	7	1
a=14	14	1	14	1
Find smallest <i>r</i> such that $a^r \equiv 1 \mod N$				

Our discrete log problem is equivalent to order finding. 5

Period finding

In other words, the problem by now can also be phrased as finding the period of a function.

$$f(x) = f(x+r)$$

Where

$$f(x) = a^x = a^{x+r} \mod N$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(D)(0) 10/18

Find r.

What to do after quantum algorithm gives you r

- If r is odd or if $a^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1 \equiv 0 \mod N$, abandon.
- There is separate theorem saying no more than a quarter of trials would have to be tossed.

Exercise: try for a = 14.

What to do after quantum algorithm gives you r

- If r is odd or if $a^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1 \equiv 0 \mod N$, abandon.
- There is separate theorem saying no more than a quarter of trials would have to be tossed.

(ロ)、(同)、(目)、(目)、(目)、(1)/18

Exercise: try for a = 14.

Otherwise, factors are GCD($a^{\frac{r}{2}} \pm 1$, N) a=2 r=4 | $2^{2} \pm 1 = 4 \pm 1$ a=4 r=2 | $4^{1} \pm 1 = 4 \pm 1$ a=7 r=4 | $7^{2} \pm 1 = 49 \pm 1$ a=8 r=4 | $8^{2} \pm 1 = 64 \pm 1$ a=11 r=2 | $11^{1} \pm 1 = 11 \pm 1$ a=13 r=4 | $13^{2} \pm 1 = 169 \pm 1$ a=14 r=2 | $14^{2} \pm 1 = 196 \pm 1$ (bad case)

Notice why we discarded 14.

Proof why this works and why factoring is modular square root

 $a^r \equiv 1 \mod N$

So now $a^{\frac{r}{2}}$ is a nontrivial square root of 1 mod N.

 $a^r - 1 \equiv 0 \mod N$

$$(a^{\frac{r}{2}}-1)(a^{\frac{r}{2}}+1) \equiv 0 \mod N$$

The above implies that

$$\frac{(a^{\frac{r}{2}}-1)(a^{\frac{r}{2}}+1)}{N}$$

(ロ) (四) (三) (三) (三) (13/18)

is an integer. So now we have to prove that

1.
$$\frac{a^{\frac{r}{2}}-1}{N}$$
 is not an integer, and
2. $\frac{a^{\frac{r}{2}}+1}{N}$ is not an integer.

Proof why this works and why factoring is modular square root

Suppose $\frac{a^{\frac{r}{2}}-1}{N}$ is an integer that would imply

$$a^{rac{r}{2}}-1\equiv 0 \mod N$$

 $a^{rac{r}{2}}\equiv 1 \mod N$

but we already defined *r* is the smallest such that $a^r \equiv 1 \mod N$, so there is a contradiction, so $\frac{a^{\frac{r}{2}}-1}{N}$ is not an integer.

Suppose $\frac{a^{\frac{r}{2}}+1}{N}$ is an integer that would imply

 $a^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1 \equiv 0 \mod N$

but we already eliminated such cases because we know this doesn't give us a useful result.

Table of contents

The factoring problem

The classical part: converting factoring to order finding / period finding

The quantum part: period finding using quantum Fourier transform Calculate modular exponentiation Measurement of target (bottom, ancillary) qubit register Quantum Fourier transform to obtain period

(ロ) (四) (三) (三) (三) (15/18)

The quantum part: period finding using quantum Fourier transform

After picking a value for *a*, use quantum parallelism to calculate modular exponentiation: $a^x \mod N$ for all $0 \le x \le 2^n - 1$ simultaneously.

(ロ)、(同)、(目)、(目)、(目)、(15/18)

▶ Use interference to find a global property, such as the period *r*.

Calculate modular exponentiation

- See aside to "Patterns and Bugs in Quantum Programs" paper for circuit.
- State after applying modular exponentiation circuit is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1}|x\rangle |f(x)\rangle$$

Concretely, using our running example of N = 15, need n = 4 qubits to encode, and suppose we picked a = 2, the state would be

$$\frac{1}{4}\sum_{x=0}^{15}|x\rangle \left| 2^{x} \mod 15 \right\rangle$$

(ロ)、(同)、(目)、(目)、(目)、(16/18)

Measurement of target (bottom, ancillary) qubit register

We then measure the target qubit register, collapsing it to a definite value. The state of the upper register would then be limited to:

$$rac{1}{A}\sum_{a=0}^{A-1}|x_0+ar
angle$$

Concretely, using our running example of *N* = 15, and suppose we picked *a* = 2, and suppose measurement results in 2, the upper register would be a uniform superposition of all |*x*⟩ such that 2^{*x*} = 2 mod 15:

$$\frac{|1\rangle}{2} + \frac{|5\rangle}{2} + \frac{|9\rangle}{2} + \frac{|13\rangle}{2}$$

The key trick now is can we extract the period r = 4 from such a quantum state.

Quantum Fourier transform to obtain period

The task now is to use Fourier transform to obtain the period.

$$\ket{\psi} = rac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\sum_{y=0}^{2^n-1}e^{2\pi i\omega y}\ket{y}$$

(ロト 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 三 のへで 18/18