

Basic quantum algorithms: Bell's inequality, Deutsch-Jozsa

Yipeng Huang

Rutgers University

October 5, 2022

Table of contents

The universe does not obey local realism

EPR paradox

CHSH game

Hardy's paradox

Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm: simplest quantum algorithm showing advantage vs. classical

Problem description

Circuit diagram and what is in the oracle

Demonstration of Deutsch-Jozsa for the $n = 1$ case

Deutsch-Jozsa programs and systems

EPR paradox

- ▶ When quantum physics was first discovered, the mathematics of entanglement led to shocking conclusions.
- ▶ If you can keep systems coherent (isolated), they can exhibit superposition and entanglement.
- ▶ Einstein and others: there shouldn't be “spooky action at a distance” so there must be some local hidden-variable. The task was then to prove or disprove local hidden-variables.
- ▶ But protocols and experiments like Hardy's, GHZ, CHSH, and Aspect experimentally rejected local hidden-variable theory.

CHSH game: Test of entanglement

Two isolated parties Alice and Bob

- ▶ Alice gets coin toss x , replies a
- ▶ Bob gets coin toss y , replies b

Goal: maximize $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$

x	y	$x \wedge y$	$a \oplus b$	winning options for (a, b)
0	0	0	0	(0,0) or (1,1)
0	1	0	0	(0,0) or (1,1)
1	0	0	0	(0,0) or (1,1)
1	1	1	1	(0,1) or (1,0)

Best classical strategy to maximize $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$

Proof that any assignment to a and b cannot always satisfy $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$

1. Let a_0 be Alice's response if she sees $x = 0$
2. Let a_1 be Alice's response if she sees $x = 1$
3. Let b_0 be Bob's response if she sees $y = 0$
4. Let b_1 be Bob's response if she sees $y = 1$

Satisfy $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$

1. $a_0 \oplus b_0 = 0$
2. $a_0 \oplus b_1 = 0$
3. $a_1 \oplus b_0 = 0$
4. $a_1 \oplus b_1 = 1$

Sum (mod 2) of left side

$$(a_0 \oplus b_0) \oplus (a_0 \oplus b_1) \oplus (a_1 \oplus b_0) \oplus (a_1 \oplus b_1) = \\ (a_0 \oplus a_0) \oplus (a_1 \oplus a_1) \oplus (b_0 \oplus b_0) \oplus (b_1 \oplus b_1) = 0$$

Sum (mod 2) of right side

$$1$$

Best classical strategy to maximize $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$

- ▶ Even if the two shared randomness, the random coin toss of x and y prevents use of shared randomness.
- ▶ Best you can do is $3/4$.
- ▶ Give a couple ways of getting $3/4$

A quantum strategy to maximize $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$

Alice and Bob share entangled pair $|\Phi\rangle$

$$|\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \left(3|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle \right)$$

$(x, y) = (0, 0)$ So Alice and Bob both apply I :

$$(I \otimes I) |\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Measurement yields

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a, b) = (0, 0), \text{ a win, with probability } \frac{9}{12} \\ (a, b) = (0, 1), \text{ a loss, with probability } \frac{1}{12} \\ (a, b) = (1, 0), \text{ a loss, with probability } \frac{1}{12} \\ (a, b) = (1, 1), \text{ a win, with probability } \frac{1}{12} \end{array} \right.$$

A quantum strategy to maximize $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$

Alice and Bob share entangled pair $|\Phi\rangle$

$$|\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \left(3|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle \right)$$

$(x, y) = (0, 1)$ So Alice applies I , Bob applies H :

$$(I \otimes H) |\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Measurement yields

$$\begin{cases} (a, b) = (0, 0), \text{ a win, with probability } \frac{4}{6} \\ (a, b) = (0, 1), \text{ a loss, with probability } \frac{1}{6} \\ (a, b) = (1, 0), \text{ a loss, with probability } 0 \\ (a, b) = (1, 1), \text{ a win, with probability } \frac{1}{6} \end{cases}$$

A quantum strategy to maximize $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$

Alice and Bob share entangled pair $|\Phi\rangle$

$$|\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}(3|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle)$$

$(x, y) = (1, 0)$ So Alice applies H , Bob applies I :

$$(H \otimes I)|\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Measurement yields

$$\begin{cases} (a, b) = (0, 0), \text{ a win, with probability } \frac{4}{6} \\ (a, b) = (0, 1), \text{ a loss, with probability } 0 \\ (a, b) = (1, 0), \text{ a loss, with probability } \frac{1}{6} \\ (a, b) = (1, 1), \text{ a win, with probability } \frac{1}{6} \end{cases}$$

A quantum strategy to maximize $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$

Alice and Bob share entangled pair $|\Phi\rangle$

$$|\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \left(3|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle \right)$$

$(x, y) = (1, 1)$ So Alice and Bob both apply H :

$$(H \otimes H) |\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Measurement yields

$$\begin{cases} (a, b) = (0, 0), \text{ a loss, with probability } \frac{1}{3} \\ (a, b) = (0, 1), \text{ a win, with probability } \frac{1}{3} \\ (a, b) = (1, 0), \text{ a win, with probability } \frac{1}{3} \\ (a, b) = (1, 1), \text{ a loss, with probability } 0 \end{cases}$$

A quantum strategy to maximize $a \oplus b = x \wedge y$

Sum of winning chances?

Philosophical interpretations of quantum mechanics

Cannot have both locality and realism

- ▶ Locality: “means that information and causation act locally, not faster than light”
- ▶ Realism: “means that physical systems have definite, well-defined properties (even if those properties may be unknown to us)”

Source: de Wolf. Quantum Computing: Lecture Notes

Unpalatable choices

- ▶ Keep locality and sacrifice realism: no definite narrative of the world
- ▶ Keep realism and sacrifice locality: spooky-action-at-a-distance

Table of contents

The universe does not obey local realism

EPR paradox

CHSH game

Hardy's paradox

Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm: simplest quantum algorithm showing advantage vs. classical

Problem description

Circuit diagram and what is in the oracle

Demonstration of Deutsch-Jozsa for the $n = 1$ case

Deutsch-Jozsa programs and systems

Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm: simplest quantum algorithm showing advantage vs. classical

A Heist

- ▶ You break into a bank vault. The bank vault has 2^n bars. Three possibilities: all are gold, half are gold and half are fake, or all are fake.
- ▶ Even if you steal just one gold bar, it is enough to fund your escape from the country, forever evading law enforcement.
- ▶ You do not want to risk stealing from a bank vault with only fake bars.
- ▶ You have access to an oracle $f(x)$ that tells you if gold bar x is real.
- ▶ Using the oracle sounds the alarm, so you only get to use it once.

Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm: simplest quantum algorithm showing advantage vs. classical

More formal description

▶ The 2^n bars are either fake or gold. $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

▶ Three possibilities:

1. All are fake. f is constant. $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

2. All are gold. f is constant. $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

3. Half fake half gold. f is balanced.

$$\left| \{x \in \{0, 1\}^n : f(x) = 0\} \right| = \left| \{x \in \{0, 1\}^n : f(x) = 1\} \right| = 2^{n-1}$$

▶ The oracle U works as follows: $U |c\rangle |t\rangle = |c\rangle |t \oplus f(c)\rangle$

▶ Try deciding if f is constant or balanced using oracle U only once.

What is in the oracle

For $n = 1$, four possibilities

	f_0	f_1	f_2	f_3
$f(0)$	0	0	1	1
$f(1)$	0	1	0	1
	f is constant 0	f is balanced	f is balanced	f is constant 1

Demonstration of Deutsch-Jozsa for the $n = 1$ case

Output of circuit is $c = 0$ iff f is constant

1. Initial state: $|c\rangle \otimes |t\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle = |0\rangle |1\rangle = |01\rangle$

2. After first set of Hadamards: $H \otimes H \left(|0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \right) = H |0\rangle \otimes H |1\rangle = |+\rangle \otimes |-\rangle =$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \right) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

From here, let's take an aside via matrix-vector multiplication to build intuition with interference and phase kickback.

Demonstration of Deutsch-Jozsa for the $n = 1$ case

Output of circuit is $c = 0$ iff f is constant

1. Initial state: $|c\rangle \otimes |t\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle = |0\rangle |1\rangle = |01\rangle$

2. After first set of Hadamards: $H \otimes H \left(|0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \right) = |+\rangle |-\rangle =$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) + |1\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \right)$$

3. After applying oracle U :

$$U \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) + |1\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle (|f(0) \oplus 0\rangle - |f(0) \oplus 1\rangle) + |1\rangle (|f(1) \oplus 0\rangle - |f(1) \oplus 1\rangle) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle (|f(0)\rangle - |f(\bar{0})\rangle) + |1\rangle (|f(1)\rangle - |f(\bar{1})\rangle) \right)$$

Demonstration of Deutsch-Jozsa for the $n = 1$ case

Output of circuit is $c = 0$ iff f is constant

1. Initial state: $|c\rangle \otimes |t\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle = |0\rangle |1\rangle = |01\rangle$

2. After first set of Hadamards: $\frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) + |1\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \right)$

3. After applying oracle U : $U \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) + |1\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \right) =$
 $\frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle (|f(0)\rangle - |f(\bar{0})\rangle) + |1\rangle (|f(1)\rangle - |f(\bar{1})\rangle) \right)$

4. This last expression can be factored depending on f :

$$U \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) + |1\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \right) =$$
$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle) (|f(0)\rangle - |f(\bar{0})\rangle) & \text{if } f(0) = f(1) \\ \frac{1}{2} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) (|f(0)\rangle - |f(\bar{0})\rangle) & \text{if } f(0) \neq f(1) \end{cases} = \begin{cases} |+\rangle |-\rangle & \text{if } f(0) = f(1) \\ |-\rangle |-\rangle & \text{if } f(0) \neq f(1) \end{cases}$$

The trick where oracle's output on $|t\rangle$ affects phase of $|c\rangle$ is called phase kickback.

Demonstration of Deutsch-Jozsa for the $n = 1$ case

Output of circuit is $c = 0$ iff f is constant

1. Initial state: $|c\rangle \otimes |t\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle = |0\rangle |1\rangle = |01\rangle$

2. After first set of Hadamards: $\frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) + |1\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \right)$

3. After applying oracle U :

$$U \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) + |1\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \right) = \begin{cases} |+\rangle |-\rangle & \text{if } f(0) = f(1) \\ |-\rangle |-\rangle & \text{if } f(0) \neq f(1) \end{cases}$$

4. After applying second H on top qubit:

$$\begin{cases} H \otimes I(|+\rangle |-\rangle) = |0\rangle |-\rangle & \text{if } f(0) = f(1) \\ H \otimes I(|-\rangle |-\rangle) = |1\rangle |-\rangle & \text{if } f(0) \neq f(1) \end{cases}$$

Deutsch-Jozsa programs and systems

Algorithm

David Deutsch and Richard Jozsa. Rapid solution of problems by quantum computation. 1992.

Programs

Google Cirq programming example.

Implementation

- ▶ Mach-Zehnder interferometer implementation.
https://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/physics/quvis/simulations_html5/sims/SinglePhotonLab/SinglePhotonLab.html
- ▶ Ion trap implementation. Gulde et al. Implementation of the Deutsch–Jozsa algorithm on an ion-trap quantum computer. Letters to Nature. 2003.

Mach-Zehnder interferometer implementation of Deutsch's algorithm

$$|0\rangle \xrightarrow{H} |+\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \xrightarrow{I} |+\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} & \xrightarrow{H} |0\rangle \\ \xrightarrow{Z} |-\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} & \xrightarrow{H} |1\rangle \\ \xrightarrow{-Z} -|-\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} & \xrightarrow{H} -|1\rangle \\ \xrightarrow{-ZZ=-I} -|+\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} & \xrightarrow{H} -|0\rangle \end{array} \right.$$