Quantum computing fundamentals: State, Composition, Dynamics

Yipeng Huang

Rutgers University

January 19, 2024

<□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 > ○ Q ○ 1/27

Table of contents

Postulates of quantum mechanics

The state of a single qubit Superposition Bloch sphere

The state of multiple qubits

Tensor product Entanglement No-cloning theorem

The evolution of qubit states

State } { composition } dunamors

Postulates of quantum mechanics



- 1. State space
- 2. Composite systems
- 3. Evolution
- 4. Quantum measurement

1, 2, and 3 are linear and describe closed quantum systems. 4 is nonlinear and describes open quantum systems.

Table of contents

Postulates of quantum mechanics

The state of a single qubit Superposition Bloch sphere

The state of multiple qubits

Tensor product Entanglement No-cloning theorem

The evolution of qubit states

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQで 4/27

Quantum postulate 1: State space The position or momentum of a physical system is described as a wavefunction

Continuous state space:

$$|\psi\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) |x\rangle dx$$
$$|x\rangle$$

are orthonormal

 $\psi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$

Assuming discrete state space:

$$|\psi
angle = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi(x_i) |x_i
angle$$



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)()、(5/27)

 $\psi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$

Quantum postulate 1: State space

The position or momentum of a physical system is described as a wavefunction

Assuming discrete state space:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi(x_i) |x_i\rangle$$

$$\psi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$$

Assuming discrete binarized state space:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{1} \psi(x_i) |x_i\rangle$$

$$\psi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$$

Im

6

The Hadamard gate $|\psi\rangle_{1} \propto |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$ X, BEC

Matrix representation of Hadamard operator: $H = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{vmatrix}$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H | 0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} | 0 \rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} | 1 \rangle$$

$$H | 1 \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} | 0 \rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} | 1 \rangle$$

Circuit diagram representation: (b)_(H)_ J[(), +J](),



Interference

Superposition

Amplitudes can positively and negatively interfere

$$HH |0\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = |0\rangle$$

$$HH |1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = |1\rangle$$
Circuit diagram representation:

Superposition

Single qubit state

- $\blacktriangleright \ \alpha \left| 0 \right\rangle + \beta \left| 1 \right\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$
- Amplitudes $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$
- ► $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$
- ► The above constraints require that qubit operators are unitary matrices.

Many physical phenomena can be in superposition and encode qubits

- Polarization of light in different directions
- 🕨 Electron spins (Intel solid state qubits) 🏾 🥑 🦿
- Atom energy states (UMD, IonQ ion trap qubits)

Quantized voltage and current (IBM, Google superconducting qubits) If multiple discrete values are possible (e.g., atom energy states, voltage and current), we pick (bottom) two for the binary abstraction. Bloch sphere



Bloch sphere

Representation of pure states of a single qubit

$$\ket{\psi} = \cosrac{ heta}{2}\ket{0} + e^{i\phi}{\sinrac{ heta}{2}}\ket{1}$$

- θ polar angle
- ϕ azimuthal angle

Euler's formula

$$e^{i\phi} = \cos\phi + i\sin\phi$$



Figure: Source: Wikimedia

<ロト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 の へ C 10/27



Bloch sphere

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left|\psi\right\rangle = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\left|0\right\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\left|1\right\rangle \begin{array}{l} R_{x}\left(\tau\right) \\ e^{i\phi} = \cos\phi + i\sin\phi \\ & = \cos\frac{\pi}{2} I - i\sin\frac{\pi}{2} X \\ & = \sqrt{2} I - i\ln\frac{\theta}{2} X \\ & R_{x}(\theta) = \cos\frac{\theta}{2} I - i\sin\frac{\theta}{2} X \\ & R_{y}(\theta) = \cos\frac{\theta}{2} I - i\sin\frac{\theta}{2} Y \\ & R_{z}(\theta) = \cos\frac{\theta}{2} I - i\sin\frac{\theta}{2} Z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left|\psi\right\rangle = \cos\frac{\theta}{2} I - i\sin\frac{\theta}{2} Y \\ & = -i\left[\cos\left(1\right)\right] = -i\left[\frac{1}{2} I - \frac{1}{2}\right] \\ & = -i\left[\cos\left(1\right)\right] = -i\left[\frac{1}{2} I - \frac{1}{2}\right] \\ & Figure: Source: Wikimedia \\ & Figure: Source: Figure: Source: Wikimedia \\ & Figure: Source: Fig$$

Bloch sphere

$$ert \psi
angle = \cos rac{ heta}{2} ert 0
angle + e^{i\phi} \sin rac{ heta}{2} ert 1
angle$$
 $e^{i\phi} = \cos \phi + i \sin \phi$

Rotations around the Bloch sphere

$$R_x(\theta) = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}I - i\sin\frac{\theta}{2}X$$
$$R_y(\theta) = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}I - i\sin\frac{\theta}{2}Y$$
$$R_z(\theta) = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}I - i\sin\frac{\theta}{2}Z$$



Figure: Source: Wikimedia

(ロ)・(日)・(王)・(王) 王 のへで 12/27

Bloch sphere

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{z} \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\
& |\psi\rangle = \cos\frac{\theta}{2} |0\rangle + e^{i\phi} \sin\frac{\theta}{2} |1\rangle \quad R_{1}(\pi) + 2 \\
& e^{i\phi} = \cos\phi + i\sin\phi = \left(\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\tau - i\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right] \quad \left(\sqrt{2}\tau\right) \\
& = -i\left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 0 &$$

Table of contents

Postulates of quantum mechanics

The state of a single qubit Superposition Bloch sphere

→ The state of multiple qubits

Tensor product
 Entanglement
 No-cloning theorem

The evolution of qubit states

(ロ) (四) (三) (三) (三) (13/27)

Quantum postulate 2: Composite systems

multiple gubits

The state space of composite systems is the tensor product of state space of component systems.

(ロ) (四) (三) (三) (三) (14/27)

Multiple qubits: the tensor product



Multiple qubits: the tensor product



Multiple qubits: the tensor product

Tensor product of state vectors

$$X\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle\right) \otimes I|1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix}0 & 1\\1 & 0\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\0 & 1\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle$$

Circuit diagram representation:



reading assignment

intro du cenor

recommentel: OC for non pluy:-

Entangled states: Bell state circuit

Bell state circuit

$$|00\rangle \xrightarrow{H\otimes I} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|00\rangle + |10\rangle \right) \xrightarrow{CNOT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|00\rangle + |11\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} = |\Phi^+\rangle$$

Can $|\Phi^+\rangle$ be treated as the tensor product (composition) of two individual qubits?

Prove that the Bell state cannot be factored into two single-qubit states

Bell state circuit

$$|00\rangle \xrightarrow{H \otimes I} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|00\rangle + |10\rangle \right) \xrightarrow{CNOT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|00\rangle + |11\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} = |\Phi^+\rangle$$

Can $|\Phi^+\rangle$ be treated as the tensor product (composition) of two individual qubits? No.

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

Bell states form an orthogonal basis set

$$1. |00\rangle \xrightarrow{H \otimes I} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|00\rangle + |10\rangle \right) \xrightarrow{CNOT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|00\rangle + |11\rangle \right) = |\Phi^+\rangle$$

$$2. |01\rangle \xrightarrow{H \otimes I} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|01\rangle + |11\rangle \right) \xrightarrow{CNOT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|01\rangle + |10\rangle \right) = |\Psi^+\rangle$$

$$3. |10\rangle \xrightarrow{H \otimes I} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|00\rangle - |10\rangle \right) \xrightarrow{CNOT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|00\rangle - |11\rangle \right) = |\Phi^-\rangle$$

$$4. |11\rangle \xrightarrow{H \otimes I} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|01\rangle - |11\rangle \right) \xrightarrow{CNOT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|01\rangle - |10\rangle \right) = |\Psi^-\rangle$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の < ℃ 21/27

No-cloning theorem

There is no way to duplicate an arbitrary quantum state Suppose a cloning operation U_c exists. Then:

 $egin{aligned} U_c(|\phi
angle\otimes|\omega
angle) &= |\phi
angle\otimes|\phi
angle\,,\ U_c(|\psi
angle\otimes|\omega
angle) &= |\psi
angle\otimes|\psi
angle\,, \end{aligned}$

for arbitrary states $\left|\phi\right\rangle,\left|\psi\right\rangle$ we wish to copy.

► The overlap of the initial states is:

 $\left\langle \phi \right| \otimes \left\langle \omega \right| \left| \psi \right\rangle \otimes \left| \omega \right\rangle = \left\langle \phi \right| \left| \psi \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \omega \right| \left| \omega \right\rangle = \left\langle \phi \right| \left| \psi \right\rangle$

No-cloning theorem

There is no way to duplicate an arbitrary quantum state Suppose a cloning operation U_c exists. Then:

 $egin{aligned} U_c(|\phi
angle\otimes|\omega
angle) &= |\phi
angle\otimes|\phi
angle\,,\ U_c(|\psi
angle\otimes|\omega
angle) &= |\psi
angle\otimes|\psi
angle\,, \end{aligned}$

for arbitrary states $\left|\phi\right\rangle,\left|\psi\right\rangle$ we wish to copy.

The overlap of the final states is:

 $\langle \phi | \otimes \langle \phi | | \psi \rangle \otimes | \psi \rangle = \langle \phi | | \psi \rangle \cdot \langle \phi | | \psi \rangle = (\langle \phi | | \psi \rangle)^2$

• The overlap of the final states is also:

 $\langle \phi | \otimes \langle \phi | | \psi \rangle \otimes | \psi \rangle = \langle \phi | \otimes \langle \omega | U^{\dagger} U | \psi \rangle \otimes | \omega \rangle = \langle \phi | \otimes \langle \omega | | \psi \rangle \otimes | \omega \rangle = \langle \phi | | \psi \rangle$

• $(\langle \phi | | \psi \rangle)^2 = \langle \phi | | \psi \rangle$, so $\langle \phi | | \psi \rangle = 0$, or $\langle \phi | | \psi \rangle = 1$, $| \phi \rangle$ and $| \psi \rangle$ cannot be arbitrary states as claimed.

Table of contents

Postulates of quantum mechanics

The state of a single qubit Superposition Bloch sphere

The state of multiple qubits

Tensor product Entanglement No-cloning theorem

The evolution of qubit states

Quantum postulate 3: Evolution

The time evolution of a state follows the Schrödinger equation

$${}^{t}\hbar rac{\partial}{\partial t} \ket{\psi(t)} = H \ket{\psi(t)}$$

- Comes from the conservation of total energy in the closed system, one of the observables from the system state.
- Itself reflects a time-invariance.

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial}{\partial t} \ket{\psi(t)} &= rac{-iH}{\hbar} \ket{\psi(t)} \ &\ket{\psi(t)} &= e^{rac{-iH}{\hbar}} \ket{\psi(t)} \end{aligned}$$

Quantum postulate 3: Evolution

The evolution of a closed quantum system is a unitary transformation.

$$|\psi(t=t_1)\rangle = U \,|\psi(t=t_0)\rangle$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (26/27)

 $\blacktriangleright |\psi_1\rangle = U |\psi_0\rangle$

- In a closed quantum system, $\langle \psi_1 | | \psi_1 \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | U^{\dagger} U | \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | | \psi_0 \rangle = 1$
- $U^{\dagger}U = I$, $U^{\dagger} = U^{-1}$; Such matrices *U* are unitary

Quantum postulate 3: Evolution

From unitary transformations we can show Hamiltonians in closed quantum systems must be hermitian

- $\blacktriangleright \ U \left| \psi \right\rangle = e^{\frac{-iH}{\hbar}} \left| \psi \right\rangle$
- $\blacktriangleright \ U^{\dagger} \ket{\psi} = e^{\frac{-(iH)^{\dagger}}{\hbar}} \ket{\psi}$
- $\blacktriangleright \ U^{\dagger} \left| \psi \right\rangle = U^{-1} \left| \psi \right\rangle = e^{\frac{iH}{\hbar}} \left| \psi \right\rangle$
- $(iH)^{\dagger} = -iH$, A = iH; such matrices A are called anti-Hermitian a.k.a. skew-Hermitian

▶ If *iH* is skew-Hermitian, *H* is Hermitian a.k.a. self-adjoint: $H^{\dagger} = H$